

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,390	10/13/2005	Jurgen Huber	466/43959	7075
	7590 08/08/2007 HORNBURG LLP		EXAMINER	
750-17TH STREET NW SUITE 900		· .	HSIAO, JAMES K	
	N, DC 20006-4675	ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
			3683	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/533,390	HUBER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		James K. Hsiao	3683				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication apportant the MAILING DATE of th	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHICH - Extension after SIX - If NO per - Failure of Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAYORS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Beriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Oc	<u>ctober 2005</u> .					
2a)∐ T	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
C	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	n of Claims						
4)⊠ C	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4 and 7</u> is/are pending in the application.						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□ C	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ C	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4 and 7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) 🗌 C	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□ C	laim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application	n Papers						
9) 🔲 Th	ne specification is objected to by the Examiner	,					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Α	pplicant may not request that any objection to the d	Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) 🔲 Th	ne oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) [	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign [AII b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority documents.  Certified copies of the priority documents.  Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau e the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔯 Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/13/2005</u> .	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear as to what the phrase, "fraction of a maximally achievable braking force has been generated." What is meant by this? Is it describing a ABS operation? What is the maximum? What is a fraction of the maximum?

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Emilsson (US-4575159).

Regarding claim 1, Emilsson discloses a brake device having an actuator comprising: a brake cylinder and a piston in and dividing the brake cylinder into an application pressure chamber and a release pressure chamber (fig 5); an overflow valve connecting the two pressure chambers (28), the overflow valve being opened at least

during a portion of both transition phases between the application position and the release position until essentially a pressure balance exists between the two pressure chambers and is otherwise closed (col. 7, lines 5-20); and ventilation and bleeder valves connected to the two chambers for pressurizing and bleeding the two pressure chambers, the ventilation and bleeder valves are closed during the opening time of the overflow valve, and a pressure buildup or a pressure reduction exceeding the pressure balance in the two pressure chambers takes place by opening or closing the ventilation and bleeder valves (fig 5).

Regarding claim 2, Emilsson discloses wherein the piston is spring loaded (16) in the direction of the application position (fig 5).

Regarding claims 4 and 7(as best understood), Emilsson discloses wherein the overflow valve is opened until a fraction of a maximally achievable braking force or releasing force has been generated (col. 7).

## Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Beck, Kobald, Egerton, Harding, Harrison, and Matsuki all disclose spring applied braking systems but were not relied upon in the above rejection.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James K. Hsiao whose telephone number is 571-272-6259. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/533,390

Art Unit: 3683

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Siconolfi can be reached on 571-272-7124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 4

DEVON C. KPAMER

PATENT EXAMINER

WAY

8/2/91

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JKH